

USW/ArcelorMittal Negotiations

Update #12 Friday, Sept. 4, 2015



Message Delivered: We Demand a Fair Contract NOW!

First, we want to thank the **members, retirees, their families and other supporters** who participated in our rallies, marches and other actions this week for their **tremendous display of unity and solidarity**. The negotiating committee **truly appreciates your hard work and dedication** while this long, difficult process continues.

ArcelorMittal management certainly saw our numbers and heard our voices in opposition to their **unfair and unnecessary demands** with thousands of Steelworkers rallying in solidarity outside their doors on Tuesday.

Although the company says that it wants a new contract with our union, that desire has not been reflected in its proposals at the table. While we continue to meet, progress has been slow, and ArcelorMittal persists in seeking major changes to our agreements that would reduce the security of our jobs, earnings and benefits.

We remain committed to our goal of reaching a fair contract, and we question whether we are **dealing** with people who have the authority to negotiate an agreement.

We must continue standing together and delivering to management the consistent message that we will not allow the company to take away the rights and benefits we have earned and deserve, nor will we allow them to dig into the pockets of our retirees.

As always, we remind everyone to **work safely** and urge your **continued solidarity**. We will keep you informed of our progress and **look forward to the challenges ahead**.

| 2015 USW Negotiating Committee | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| David McCall, Chairman | | Michael Millsap, Secretary | | | | | |
| USW District 1 Director | | USW District 7 Director | | | | | |
| Dan Boone | Tor | om Hargrove Lonnie Asher | | r | Sheldon Gregg | | |
| USW Local 979 | USI | USW Local 1010 USW | | !1 | USW Local 1165 | | |
| Cleveland | Ind. Harb | arbor East & Riverdale Indiana Harbor We | | Vest | Coatesville | | |
| Rich Sayers | Ray Napoli | | Mark Glyptis | | Dave Bombich | | |
| USW Local 1375-07 | USW Local 1688 | | USW Local 2911 | | USW Local 6115 | | |
| Warren Coke | Steelton | | Weirton | | Minorca Mine | | |
| Pete Trinidad | James Sanderson | | Kameen Thomp | son | Al Caldwell | | |
| USW Local 6787 | USW Local 7898 | | USW Local 946 | 52 | USW Local 2342-01 | | |
| Burns Harbor | G | eorgetown | Conshohockei | n | Columbus Processing | | |
| Kinley Porter | | Todd Kegley | | Randy Wade | | | |
| USW Local 9121 | | USW Local 9231 | | USW Local 9309 | | | |
| LaPlace I/N Tek a | | d I/N Kote Columbus Coatings | | Columbus Coatings | | | |

Sign up to receive text updates by sending "AM" to 47486.



Some of the Issues Remaining between the USW and ArcelorMittal:

| WAGES/COMPENSATION: | |
|--|--|
| MANAGEMENT DEMANDS | UNION PROPOSALS |
| Three years with no wage increases, reducing incentives (eliminating incentive for Labor Grade 1), reductions in vacation pay, sickness and accident benefits and reducing or eliminating premium time for | A three year contract with lump sum payments and wage increases based on overall market hot band pricing. NO reductions in our vacation pay, incentives, S&A or eliminating overtime pay. Their proposals are meaningless in terms of reducing the real cost of making steel. |

| ACTIVE HEALTH CARE: | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| MANAGEMENT DEMANDS | UNION PROPOSALS | | | | |
| Reduced coverage with huge increases in out-of-pocket expenses and monthly premiums of \$150 for single coverage and \$250 for family coverage. A single person who doesn't use their insurance would pay \$1,800 more per year, while families would see an increase of \$3,000 per year. In addition, for those who use their insurance, a single person would pay up to \$2,600 more than current, and families could pay up to \$6,600 more – not including drug costs. | Our health care is part of our compensation, and there is no need to reduce coverage or make monthly contributions because of a temporary downturn in the market. We have proposed a single PIB that will reduce the administrative costs by millions of dollars per year, yet protects our benefits and NOT require contributions. | | | | |

| RETIREE PROTECTIONS: | |
|---|--|
| MANAGEMENT DEMANDS | UNION PROPOSALS |
| Significant increases in monthly premiums for current and future retirees, pushing Medicare-eligible retirees into exchange plans and ending contributions to the VEBA, negatively impacting current and future retirees and eventually eliminating benefits for legacy retirees. | We have introduced a proposal that will reduce OPEB liabilities and drastically reduce the company's accounting charge for retiree healthcare, but maintains our benefits and contribution levels as well as benefits for legacy retirees. |